



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,261	01/29/2001	Gordon James Smith	ROC920000268US1	8891
7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert R Williams, Patent Agent IBM Corporation - Department 917 3605 Highway 52 North Rochester, MN 55901-7829			NELSON, FREDA ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3639	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\N			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/772,261	SMITH, GORDON JAMES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Freda Nelson	3639			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror a, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruarv 2005.	•			
·		action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification to the specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to a communication filed February 23, 2005 wherein:

The applicant has amended claims 1, 8 and 14;

No claims have been added; and

Claims 1-17 are pending.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 02/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that "selling tangible merchandise" having a "per-unit" is neither taught nor suggested by the examiner's cited art. However, the added material "pricing or purchasing tangible merchandise" having a "per-unit price" is not supported by the applicant's original disclosure.
- 2. The amendment filed 02/23/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "purchasing tangible merchandise" at a first "per-unit" price.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 3639

4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Among et al. (US PG Pub 2003/0110063).
- 4. In claims 1 and 4-5, Among et al. disclose that once the buyer has agreed to purchase the final option, the buyer inputs 501 the name, billing address, company name, phone contact and e-mail address of the person that is traveling and is the credit card holder; and once the "continue" button is clicked, the buyer is prompted for additional information to complete the reservation. For example, the names of any additional travelers and/or frequent flyers number information may be input 505 (paragraph 0047). Among et al. disclose that once the buyer has provided parameter information for the selected components of a tour package, a click on the "price this itinerary" button will take all variables into consideration and return with a selection of the lowest price options that may interest the buyer, 314 (paragraph 0044). Among et al still further disclose that the flexibility and the ease with which the different suboptions may be combined, priced and recombined in the different options and then re-priced online via a

Art Unit: 3639

network connection, is an advantage of the present invention (paragraph 0044). Although Among et al. disclose that once the "continue" button is clicked, the buyer is prompted for additional information to complete the reservation. For example, the names of any additional travelers and/or frequent flyers number information may be input 505 (paragraph 0044). Among et al. is silent about calculating a second merchandise price based upon a quantity of said any users indicated in step (b). However, this feature is deemed to be inherent to the Among et al. system because as paragraph 0044 shows that once the buyer has provided parameter information (additional travelers) for the selected components of a tour package, a click on the "price this itinerary" button will take all variables into consideration and return with a selection of the lowest price options that may interest the buyer, 314 wherein suboptions may be combined, priced and recombined in the different options and then re-priced online via a network connection. The Among et al. system would be deemed inoperative if the system could not calculate (or re-price) the different travel options.

5. In claim 7, Among et al. disclose a method and system for managing a tour product purchase and more specifically, for permitting vendors to directly manage tour product inventory and in real-time (paragraph 0003). Among et al. further disclose a method and apparatus that allows a vendor to instantly confirm and manage inventory for all selected suboptions of any components sold by the vendor, which enables a quick and easy electronically ticketed transaction (paragraph 0013).

Art Unit: 3639

- 6. Claims 2-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Among et al. (US PG Pub 2003/0110063) in view of Pugliese, III et al. (US PG Pub 2001/0016825).
- 7. In claims 2, Among et al. does not disclose that step (a) requires the purchaser to enter information with a data storage device. Pugliese, III et al. disclose that upon the first, initial reservation, the passenger is issued a plastic identification card which carries a unique card number (paragraph 0012). Pugliese, III et al. further disclose that at the machine, the passenger passes or swipes the identification card through a magnetic card reader attached to the special ATM. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Among et al. to include data storage device (plastic I.D. card) of Pugliese, III et al. in order to provide the convenience of not having to physically input personal data each time a purchase is made.
- 8. In claims 3 and 6, Pugliese, III et al. disclose that upon the first, initial reservation, the passenger is issued a plastic identification card which carries a unique card number (paragraph 0012). Pugliese, III, et al. disclose that on the basis of the received passenger I.D. number, which is stored on the card, the central computer will send to the remote terminal information which identifies the flight number and flight destination along with a verification of the passenger identification, which will then be verified with the picture ID presented by the passengers and accompanying adult passengers (paragraph 0013).

Art Unit: 3639

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freda Nelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7076. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Freda Nelso

Examiner Art Unit 3629

THOMAS A. DIXON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY